

From:

Sent: Monday, August 3, 2015 9:02:16 PM

To: _____

Subject: RE: Wednesday at GK

Importance: Normal

[illegible]

Sounds good.

Sent via the Samsung Galaxy Note® 3, an AT&T 4G LTE smartphone

Original message

From: [REDACTED]@state.co.us>

Date: 08/03/2015 7:58 PM (GMT-07:00)

To: [REDACTED]@erllc.com>

Subject: Re: Wednesday at GK

Sure, let's do it at 10 AM. I'll be at the R and B tomorrow.

> On Aug 3, 2015, at 7:25 PM, [REDACTED]@erllc.com> wrote:

 γ

> [REDACTED] is hoping you can pin it down a little tighter than that. I don't think he cares when, but would like a general time to plan for.

3. 人

 \wedge

2

> Sent via the Samsung Galaxy Note® 3, an AT&T 4G LTE smartphone

 \wedge \wedge

> ----- Original message -----

> From: [REDACTED]@state.co.us>

> Date: 08/03/2015 7:00 PM (GMT-07:00)

> To: [REDACTED]@erllc.com>

> Subject: Re: Wednesday at GK

 \wedge

- > Anytime after 9 am.

 γ \wedge \wedge

2

On Aug 3, 2015, at 8:00 PM, @eric.com wrote.

 \gg

>> You got a time?

 \angle

>>

 \gg

>> Sent via the Samsung Galaxy Note® 3, an AT&T 4G LTE smartphone

 \gg

>>
>> ----- Original message -----
>> From: [REDACTED]@state.co.us>
>> Date: 08/01/2015 3:52 PM (GMT-07:00)
>> To: [REDACTED]@epa.gov>
>> Cc: [REDACTED]@erllc.com>, [REDACTED]@westonsolutions.com>
>> Subject: Re: RST
>>
>> Here's a direct quote from [REDACTED]:
>>
>> "Probably too late to turn back now, since we have had to essentially
>> loan the vendor money to complete the order. I am sure we can work it
>> out, but am concerned about the vendor's diligence so I will keep on
>> top of this from here on out."
>>
>> We've got to let him get the part, I think. RST is not the problem, in
>> my opinion, it's the local vender, and [REDACTED] got that worked out. The
>> RST piezometer is a quality product, and was installed at the [REDACTED]
>> [REDACTED]. We were only looking at switching because of buy American
>> requirements. I like the do nothing option, let [REDACTED] take it from
>> here.
>>
>> Have fun [REDACTED]
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>> On Aug 1, 2015, at 3:16 PM, [REDACTED]@epa.gov> wrote:
>>>
>>> [REDACTED],
>>>
>>> Unfortunately this item got dropped from the radar. So if you believe the RST piezometer is
>>> acceptable then let MES proceed. However if the supplier thinks we may be better off with the Geolon unit
>>> and [REDACTED] agree, then we need to see what's involved to switch.
>>>
>>> However, I am ok with sticking w the RST option if its adequate and the can deliver. It sounds a little
>>> uncertain.
>>>
>>> We can talk Monday or just decide.
>>>
>>> Thanks- time to ready for a wedding now. (Mighty hot here !!)
>>> [REDACTED]
>>>
>>> Sent from my iPhone
>>>
>>>> On Aug 1, 2015, at 7:25 AM, [REDACTED]@state.co.us> wrote:
>>>>
>>>> [REDACTED] indicates that it was in the specification and that he bid to
>>>> provide and install the RST vibrating wire piezometer. It is being
>>>> screwed together in Canada at this time. So [REDACTED] will need some
>>>> direction on this soon. If it is at all possible under buy American
>>>> provisions, I'd suggest we allow [REDACTED] to continue, since trying to get
>>>> one from Geokon now might mess with the schedule. It probably isn't an
>>>> off-the-shelf item.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> On Aug 1, 2015, at 7:48 AM, [REDACTED]@epa.gov> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> [REDACTED]- the piezometer and associated data logger were going to be acquired by ER. This was not in

the spec or bid for the sub if I remember.

>>>>>

>>>>> [REDACTED] identified an acceptable option and I believe we discussed that at the Weston office together.

>>>>>

>>>>> It makes sense that ER acquire that instrument.

>>>>>

>>>>> [REDACTED]

>>>>> Sent from my iPhone

>>>>>

>>>>> On Jul 31, 2015, at 6:55 PM, [REDACTED]@erllc.com> wrote:

>>>>>

>>>>> No one else is buying instrumentation or other bulkhead materials.

>>>>>

>>>>>

>>>>>

>>>>> Sent via the Samsung Galaxy Note® 3, an AT&T 4G LTE smartphone

>>>>>

>>>>>

>>>>> ----- Original message -----

>>>>> From: [REDACTED]@minenv.com>

>>>>> Date: 07/31/2015 7:52 PM (GMT-07:00)

>>>>> To: [REDACTED]@state.co.us>

>>>>> Cc: [REDACTED]@rvi.net>, [REDACTED]@epa.gov>, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]@erllc.com>, [REDACTED]@WestonSolutions.com)"

[REDACTED]@westonsolutions.com>

>>>>> Subject: Re: RST

>>>>>

>>>>> Hi [REDACTED]

>>>>>

>>>>> We would avoid the thrust plates. If someone else is handling this we could cancel and reduce our billing accordingly.

>>>>>

>>>>> [REDACTED]

>>>>> MES Mining

>>>>> Sent from my cellular phone.

>>>>>

>>>>>

>>>>> [REDACTED]@state.co.us> wrote:

>>>>>

>>>>> Hi [REDACTED], yes, RST was specified. Just want to make sure ER isn't on a

>>>>> parallel procurement path.

>>>>>

>>>>> And yes, tying the VW piezometer to the 3/4 inch pipe would be good.

>>>>> Need to assure the cable is isolated from thrust plates where it is

>>>>> passed through the bulkhead.

>>>>>

>>>>>

>>>>>

>>>>> On Jul 31, 2015, at 4:21 PM, [REDACTED]@minenv.com> wrote:

>>>>>

>>>>> This message cannot be displayed because of the way it is formatted. Ask the sender to send it again using a different format or email program. message/rfc822

>>>>>

>>>>>

>>>>> Confidentiality Warning: This e-mail and any attachments contain information intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this e-mail is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, any dissemination, publication or copying of this e-mail is strictly prohibited. Although this email has been scanned for malware, the sender does not accept any responsibility for any loss, disruption or damage to your data or computer system that may occur while using data contained in, or transmitted with, this e-mail. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify by return e-mail. Thank you.